Citation: W. G. v. Canada Employment Insurance Commission, 2014 SSTAD 211

Appeal No. AD-13-135

BETWEEN:

W.G.

**Applicant** 

and

## **Canada Employment Insurance Commission**

Respondent

## SOCIAL SECURITY TRIBUNAL DECISION Appeal Division – Leave to Appeal

SOCIAL SECURITY TRIBUNAL MEMBER: Mark BORER

DATE OF DECISION: August 25, 2014

DECISION: Leave to appeal refused

## **DECISION**

- [1] On April 4, 2013, a panel of the board of referees ("the Board") determined that the appeal of the Applicant from the previous determination of the Commission should be dismissed. The Applicant filed an application requesting leave to appeal to the Appeal Division on May 14, 2013.
- [2] The Applicant's application was filed outside of the current 30-day time limit. However, it is within the 60-day limit which existed at the time the Board decision was communicated to him. Moreover, the Board communicated to the Applicant that he indeed had 60 days to appeal, which created a reasonable expectation that the 60-day limit would be applied in his case. In light of this, it is my view that it would be contrary to the interests of justice to disallow the application for lateness and I therefore allow further time within which this application can be made.
- [3] I have read and carefully considered the application of the Applicant. Although he stated that the Board did not take all the evidence into consideration, he did not provide any specific examples. I therefore turned my mind to the docket to determine if this or any other ground of appeal existed on the face of the record.
- [4] Having considered the appeal docket, the submissions of the parties, and the decision of the Board, I find no ground of appeal that would have a reasonable chance of success. In my view, as evidenced by the decision, the Board conducted a proper hearing, weighed the evidence, made findings of fact, established the correct law and applied the facts to the law.
- [5] As it has no reasonable chance of success, this application for leave to appeal must be refused.

Mark Borer

Member, Appeal Division