

Citation: *F. C. v. Canada Employment Insurance Commission*, 2014 SSTAD 282

Appeal No. AD-13-269

BETWEEN:

**F. C.**

Applicant

and

**Canada Employment Insurance Commission**

Respondent

---

**SOCIAL SECURITY TRIBUNAL DECISION**  
**Appeal Division – Leave to Appeal**

---

SOCIAL SECURITY TRIBUNAL MEMBER: Mark BORER

DATE OF DECISION: October 2, 2014

DECISION: Leave to appeal refused

## **DECISION**

[1] On March 27, 2013, a panel of the board of referees (“the Board”) determined that the appeal of the Applicant from the previous determination of the Commission should be denied. In due course, the Applicant filed an application requesting leave to appeal to the Appeal Division.

[2] I have read and carefully considered the application of the Applicant. Although he re-stated his arguments before the Board that as a teacher he was not working during the summer non-teaching period and therefore was entitled to benefits, in my view the Board properly dealt with this argument in its decision. Other than this attempt to re- argue his case, he articulated no specific error or ground of appeal that could cause me to overturn the decision of the Board. I therefore turned my mind to the docket to determine if any ground of appeal existed on the face of the record.

[3] Having considered the appeal docket, the written submissions, and the decision of the Board, I find no ground of appeal that would have a reasonable chance of success. In my view, as evidenced by the decision, the Board conducted a proper hearing, weighed the evidence, made findings of fact, established the correct law, and applied the facts to the law.

[4] As it has no reasonable chance of success, this application for leave to appeal must be refused.

*Mark Borer*

Member, Appeal Division