Citation: P. S. v. Canada Employment Insurance Commission, 2014 SSTAD 358

Appeal No. AD-13-78

BETWEEN:

**P. S.** 

Appellant

and

## **Canada Employment Insurance Commission**

Respondent

## SOCIAL SECURITY TRIBUNAL DECISION Appeal Division – Appeal

SOCIAL SECURITY TRIBUNAL MEMBER: Mark BORER

DATE OF DECISION: December 11, 2014

DECISION: Leave to appeal granted

## **DECISION**

[1] On April 25, 2013, a panel of the board of referees ("the Board") determined that the appeal of the Applicant from the previous determination of the Commission should be

denied. An application requesting leave to appeal to the Appeal Division was filed in due

course.

[2] I have read and carefully considered the application of the Applicant. The Applicant

has raised several arguments, each supported by extensive submissions and case law to the

effect that the Board erred in its decision.

[3] The Applicant argues that the Board erred in its interpretation and application of

subsection 30(3) of the *Employment Insurance Regulations* and the related jurisprudence,

and also erred in law and fact by finding that the Applicant had knowingly made a false or

misleading representation.

[4] In my view, these arguments raise an arguable case and if true could lead to the

appeal being allowed. Therefore, these arguments have a reasonable chance of success and

leave to appeal should be granted.

Mark Borer

Member, Appeal Division