<b>ITR</b>	ANSL	AT	[ON]
1 1 1		<i></i>	1011

Citation: F. H. v. Canada Employment Insurance Commission, 2014 SSTAD 373

Appeal No. AD-13-112

BETWEEN:

**F. H.** 

Applicant

and

# **Canada Employment Insurance Commission**

Respondent

# **SOCIAL SECURITY TRIBUNAL DECISION Appeal Division – Application for Leave to Appeal**

SOCIAL SECURITY TRIBUNAL MEMBER: Pierre Lafontaine

DATE OF DECISION: December 16, 2014

## **DECISION**

[1] The Tribunal refuses leave to appeal to the Appeal Division of the Social Security Tribunal.

# INTRODUCTION

- [2] On February 12, 2013, a Board of Referees found that:
  - The Applicant voluntarily left his employment without just cause under sections 29 and 30 of the *Employment Insurance Act* (the Act).
- [3] On April 28, 2013, the Applicant filed an application for leave to appeal with the Appeal Division.

## **ISSUE**

[4] The Tribunal must decide whether the appeal has a reasonable chance of success.

## THE LAW

- [5] According to subsections 56(1) and 58(3) of the *Department of Employment and Social Development Act*, "an appeal to the Appeal Division may only be brought if leave to appeal is granted" and "the Appeal Division must either grant or refuse leave to appeal."
- [6] Subsection 58(2) of the *Department of Employment and Social Development Act* provides that "leave to appeal is refused if the Appeal Division is satisfied that the appeal has no reasonable chance of success."

# **ANALYSIS**

- [7] In accordance with subsection 58(1) of the *Department of Employment and Social Development Act*, the only grounds of appeal are that:
  - (a) the Board of Referees failed to observe a principle of natural justice or otherwise acted beyond or refused to exercise its jurisdiction;

(b) the Board of Referees erred in law in making its decision or order, whether or not the

error appears on the face of the record; or

(c) the Board of Referees based its decision or order on an erroneous finding of fact that

it made in a perverse or capricious manner or without regard for the material before it.

[8] A leave to appeal proceeding is a preliminary step to a hearing on the merits. It is a first

hurdle for the Applicant to meet, but it is lower than the one that must be met on the hearing of

the appeal on the merits. At the leave stage, the Applicant does not have to prove the case.

[9] The Tribunal will grant leave to appeal if the Applicant shows that one of the

above-mentioned grounds of appeal has a reasonable chance of success.

[10] This means that the Tribunal must be in a position to determine, in accordance with

subsection 58(1) of the Department of Employment and Social Development Act, whether there is

a question of law, fact or jurisdiction whose response might justify setting aside the decision

under review.

[11] Given the foregoing, does the Applicant's appeal have a reasonable chance of success?

[12] The facts identified and the documents submitted by the Applicant in support of the

application for leave to appeal do not add anything significant to what was already given to the

Board of Referees, and essentially uphold the Board's decision on the issue of voluntary leaving.

[13] The appeal has no reasonable chance of success.

**CONCLUSION** 

[14] Leave to appeal is refused.

Pierre Lafontaine

Member, Appeal Division