

Citation: *P. K. v. Canada Employment Insurance Commission*, 2014 SSTAD 379

Appeal No. AD-13-242

BETWEEN:

**P. K.**

Applicant

and

**Canada Employment Insurance Commission**

Respondent

---

**SOCIAL SECURITY TRIBUNAL DECISION**  
**Appeal Division – Leave to Appeal**

---

SOCIAL SECURITY TRIBUNAL MEMBER: Mark BORER

DATE OF DECISION: December 18, 2014

DECISION: Leave to appeal refused

## **DECISION**

[1] On February 5, 2013, a panel of the board of referees (“the Board”) determined that the appeal of the Applicant from the previous determination of the Commission regarding the allocation of certain earnings and the imposition of a penalty for making a false statement should be denied. In due course, the Applicant filed an application requesting leave to appeal to the Appeal Division.

[2] In his application, the Applicant states that he “sincerely regret[s] and apologies [sic] for my action”, noting that he was in severe financial difficulties and needed the money. He asks that the Tribunal consider his case “in a forgiving manner”.

[3] Although the Applicant referenced natural justice in his application, he did not disclose any error or ground of appeal that could cause me to overturn the decision of the Board. I therefore turned my mind to the docket to determine if any ground of appeal existed on the face of the record.

[4] Having considered the appeal docket, the written submissions, and the decision of the Board, I find no ground of appeal that would have a reasonable chance of success. In my view, as evidenced by the decision, the Board conducted a proper hearing, weighed the evidence, made findings of fact, established the correct law, and applied the facts to the law.

[5] As it has no reasonable chance of success, this application for leave to appeal must be refused.

*Mark Borer*

Member, Appeal Division