

[TRANSLATION]

Citation: *S. B. v. Canada Employment Insurance Commission*, 2015 SSTAD 119

Appeal No. AD-13-940

BETWEEN:

S. B.

Applicant

and

Canada Employment Insurance Commission

Respondent

SOCIAL SECURITY TRIBUNAL DECISION
Appeal Division – Application for Leave to Appeal

SOCIAL SECURITY TRIBUNAL MEMBER: Pierre Lafontaine

DATE OF DECISION: February 3, 2015

DECISION

[1] The Tribunal grants leave to appeal to the Appeal Division of the Social Security Tribunal.

INTRODUCTION

[2] On July 4, 2014, the Tribunal's General Division found as follows:

- The Applicant was disentitled from receiving employment insurance benefits because she had not filed her reporting card within the time limits set out in sections 10 and 50 of the *Employment Insurance Act* ("the *Act*") and section 26 of the *Employment Insurance Regulations* ("the *Regulations*").

[3] On August 20, 2013, the Applicant filed an application for leave to appeal to the Appeal Division.

ISSUE

[4] The Tribunal must determine whether the appeal has a reasonable chance of success.

THE LAW

[5] As stated in subsections 56(1) and 58(3) of the *Department of Employment and Social Development Act*, "[a]n appeal to the Appeal Division may only be brought if leave to appeal is granted" and the Appeal Division "must either grant or refuse leave to appeal".

[6] Subsection 58(2) of the *Department of Employment and Social Development Act* provides that "[l]eave to appeal is refused if the Appeal Division is satisfied that the appeal has no reasonable chance of success".

ANALYSIS

[7] Under subsection 58(1) of the *Department of Employment and Social Development Act*, the only grounds of appeal are that:

- (a) the General Division failed to observe a principle of natural justice or otherwise acted beyond or refused to exercise its jurisdiction;
- (b) the General Division erred in law in making its decision or order, whether or not the error appears on the face of the record; or
- (c) the General Division based its decision or order on an erroneous finding of fact that it made in a perverse or capricious manner or without regard for the material before it.

[8] An application for leave to appeal is a preliminary step to a hearing on the merits. It is a first, and lower, hurdle for the Applicant to meet than the one that must be met on the hearing of the appeal on the merits. At the application for leave to appeal stage, the Applicant does not have to prove its case.

[9] The Tribunal will grant leave to appeal if the Applicant shows that any of the above grounds of appeal has a reasonable chance of success.

[10] To do so, the Tribunal must, in accordance with subsection 58(1) of the *Department of Employment and Social Development Act*, be able to see a question of law, fact or jurisdiction the answer to which may lead to the setting aside of the decision attacked.

[11] In light of the foregoing, does the Applicant's appeal have a reasonable chance of success?

[12] The Applicant submits that the General Division erred in law in its interpretation of what a reasonable person should have done in the circumstances presented before it. She also argues, with examples, that the General Division based its decision on erroneous findings of fact.

[13] After reviewing the appeal file, the General Division's decision and the arguments in support of the application for leave to appeal, the Tribunal finds that the appeal has a reasonable chance of success. The Applicant has raised a number of questions of fact and law the answers to which may lead to the setting aside of the decision challenged.

CONCLUSION

[14] Leave to appeal is granted.

Pierre Lafontaine

Member, Appeal Division