

[TRANSLATION]

Citation: *M. A. v. Canada Employment Insurance Commission*, 2015 SSTAD 134

Appeal No. AD-14-524

BETWEEN:

**M. A.**

Applicant

and

**Canada Employment Insurance Commission**

Respondent

---

**SOCIAL SECURITY TRIBUNAL DECISION**  
**Appeal Division – Application for Leave to Appeal**

---

SOCIAL SECURITY TRIBUNAL MEMBER: Pierre Lafontaine

DATE OF DECISION: February 3, 2015

## **DECISION**

[1] The Tribunal refuses leave to appeal to the Appeal Division of the Social Security Tribunal.

## **INTRODUCTION**

[2] On September 9, 2014, the Tribunal's General Division found that:

- The Applicant had voluntarily left her employment without just cause within the meaning of sections 29 and 30 of the *Employment Insurance Act* ("the Act").

[3] The Applicant filed an application for leave to appeal to the Appeal Division on October 3, 2014.

## **ISSUE**

[4] The Tribunal must determine whether the appeal has a reasonable chance of success.

## **THE LAW**

[5] As stated in subsections 56(1) and 58(3) of the *Department of Employment and Social Development Act*, "[a]n appeal to the Appeal Division may only be brought if leave to appeal is granted" and the Appeal Division "must either grant or refuse leave to appeal".

[6] Subsection 58(2) of the *Department of Employment and Social Development Act* provides that "[l]eave to appeal is refused if the Appeal Division is satisfied that the appeal has no reasonable chance of success".

## **ANALYSIS**

[7] Under subsection 58(1) of the *Department of Employment and Social Development Act*, the only grounds of appeal are that:

- (a) the General Division failed to observe a principle of natural justice or otherwise acted beyond or refused to exercise its jurisdiction;

- (b) the General Division erred in law in making its decision or order, whether or not the error appears on the face of the record; or
- (c) the General Division based its decision or order on an erroneous finding of fact that it made in a perverse or capricious manner or without regard for the material before it.

[8] An application for leave to appeal is a preliminary step to a hearing on the merits. It is a first, and lower, hurdle for the Applicant to meet than the one that must be met on the hearing of the appeal on the merits. At the application for leave to appeal stage, the Applicant does not have to prove her case.

[9] The Tribunal will grant leave to appeal if the Applicant shows that any of the above grounds of appeal has a reasonable chance of success.

[10] To do so, the Tribunal must, in accordance with subsection 58(1) of the *Department of Employment and Social Development Act*, be able to see a question of law, fact or jurisdiction the answer to which may lead to the setting aside of the decision attacked.

[11] In light of the foregoing, does the Applicant's appeal have a reasonable chance of success?

[12] In her application for leave to appeal, the Applicant basically just repeats her version of the facts and reaffirms the position she took before the General Division. It is not up to the Member who has to determine whether to grant leave to appeal to reweigh and reassess the evidence submitted before the General Division.

[13] The Tribunal finds that the Applicant is not raising any question of law, fact or jurisdiction the answer to which may lead to the setting aside of the decision attacked.

[14] The Tribunal has no choice but to conclude that the appeal has no reasonable chance of success.

## **CONCLUSION**

[15] Leave to appeal is refused.

*Pierre Lafontaine*

Member, Appeal Division