## [TRANSLATION]

Citation: Canada Employment Insurance Commission v. G. C., 2015 SSTAD 122

Appeal No. AD-13-1145

BETWEEN:

# **Canada Employment Insurance Commission**

**Applicant** 

and

G. C.

Respondent

# **SOCIAL SECURITY TRIBUNAL DECISION Appeal Division – Application for Leave to Appeal**

SOCIAL SECURITY TRIBUNAL MEMBER: Pierre Lafontaine

DATE OF DECISION: February 3, 2015

#### **DECISION**

[1] The Tribunal grants leave to appeal to the Appeal Division of the Social Security Tribunal.

#### INTRODUCTION

- [2] On April 10, 2013, a Board of Referees found that:
  - The Respondent had accumulated a sufficient number of hours of insurable employment to be able to establish a claim for employment insurance benefits under section 7 of the *Employment Insurance Act* ("the *Act*").
- [3] On April 19, 2013, the Applicant filed an application for leave to appeal to the Appeal Division.

#### **ISSUE**

[4] The Tribunal must determine whether the appeal has a reasonable chance of success.

#### THE LAW

- [5] As stated in subsections 56(1) and 58(3) of the *Department of Employment and Social Development Act*, "[a]n appeal to the Appeal Division may only be brought if leave to appeal is granted" and the Appeal Division "must either grant or refuse leave to appeal".
- [6] Subsection 58(2) of the *Department of Employment and Social Development Act* provides that "[1]eave to appeal is refused if the Appeal Division is satisfied that the appeal has no reasonable chance of success".

#### **ANALYSIS**

[7] Under subsection 58(1) of the *Department of Employment and Social Development Act*, the only grounds of appeal are that:

- (a) the Board of Referees failed to observe a principle of natural justice or otherwise acted beyond or refused to exercise its jurisdiction;
- (b) the Board of Referees erred in law in making its decision or order, whether or not the error appears on the face of the record; or
- (c) the Board of Referees based its decision or order on an erroneous finding of fact that it made in a perverse or capricious manner or without regard for the material before it.
- [8] An application for leave to appeal is a preliminary step to a hearing on the merits. It is a first, and lower, hurdle for the Applicant to meet than the one that must be met on the hearing of the appeal on the merits. At the application for leave to appeal stage, the Applicant does not have to prove its case.
- [9] The Tribunal will grant leave to appeal if the Applicant shows that any of the above grounds of appeal has a reasonable chance of success.
- [10] To do so, the Tribunal must, in accordance with subsection 58(1) of the *Department of Employment and Social Development Act*, be able to see a question of law, fact or jurisdiction the answer to which may lead to the setting aside of the decision attacked.
- [11] In light of the foregoing, does the Applicant's appeal have a reasonable chance of success?
- [12] In its application for leave to appeal, the Applicant submits that the Board of Referees exceeded its jurisdiction in determining that the travel time was insurable. It argues that the matter of insurability lies with the Canada Revenue Agency and not the Board of Referees.
- [13] Finally, it submits that the Board of Referees erred in fact and in law in allowing the Respondent's appeal since she did not have the number of hours required to establish a benefit period under sections 7 and 8 of the *Act*.

[14] After reviewing the appeal file, the Board of Referee's decision and the arguments in support of the application for leave to appeal, the Tribunal finds that the appeal has a reasonable chance of success. The Applicant has raised a question involving the Board of Referee's jurisdiction to determine the Respondent's insurable hours the answer to which may lead to the setting aside of the decision attacked.

### **CONCLUSION**

[15] Leave to appeal is granted.

Pierre Lafontaine

Member, Appeal Division