

Citation: *H. H. v. Canada Employment Insurance Commission and Exclusive Transfer Enterprise*, 2015 SSTAD 137

Appeal No. AD-13-106

BETWEEN:

**H. H.**

Applicant

and

**Canada Employment Insurance Commission and Exclusive Transfer  
Enterprise**

Respondents

---

**SOCIAL SECURITY TRIBUNAL DECISION  
Appeal Division – Leave to Appeal**

---

SOCIAL SECURITY TRIBUNAL MEMBER: Mark BORER

DATE OF DECISION: February 4, 2015

DECISION: Leave to appeal granted

## DECISION

[1] On April 10, 2013, a panel of the board of referees (“the Board”) determined that the appeal of the Applicant from the previous determination of the Commission should be allowed in part. In due course, the Applicant filed a request for leave to appeal to the Appeal Division.

[2] Subsection 58(1) of the *Department of Employment and Social Development Act* states that the only grounds of appeal are that:

(a) The General Division [or the Board] failed to observe a principle of natural justice or otherwise acted beyond or refused to exercise its jurisdiction;

(b) The General Division [or the Board] erred in law in making its decision, whether or not the error appears on the face of the record; or

(c) The General Division [or the Board] based its decision on an erroneous finding of fact that it made in a perverse or capricious manner or without regard for the material before it.

[3] The *Act* also states that leave to appeal is to be refused if the appeal has “no reasonable chance of success”.

[4] I have read and carefully considered the application of the Applicant. In it, the Applicant outlines their views as to how the Board made errors that fall under the enumerated grounds of appeal set out in the *Act*.

[5] In my view, these pleadings set out grounds which have a reasonable chance of success. Accordingly, this application for leave to appeal is granted.

*Mark Borer*

Member, Appeal Division