

[TRANSLATION]

Citation: *C. R. v. Canada Employment Insurance Commission*, 2015 SSTAD 177

Appeal No. AD-14-450

BETWEEN:

C. R.

Applicant

and

Canada Employment Insurance Commission

Respondent

SOCIAL SECURITY TRIBUNAL DECISION
Appeal Division – Application for Leave to Appeal

SOCIAL SECURITY TRIBUNAL MEMBER: Pierre Lafontaine

DATE OF DECISION: February 9, 2015

DECISION

[1] The Tribunal refuses leave to appeal to the Appeal Division of the Social Security Tribunal.

INTRODUCTION

[2] On August 1, 2014, the General Division found that:

- The Applicant had voluntarily left her employment without just cause within the meaning of sections 29 and 30 of the *Employment Insurance Act* (“the Act”).

[3] The Applicant filed an application for leave to appeal to the Appeal Division on August 12, 2014.

ISSUE

[4] The Tribunal must determine whether the appeal has a reasonable chance of success.

THE LAW

[5] As stated in subsections 56(1) and 58(3) of the *Department of Employment and Social Development Act*, “[a]n appeal to the Appeal Division may only be brought if leave to appeal is granted” and the Appeal Division “must either grant or refuse leave to appeal”.

[6] Subsection 58(2) of the *Department of Employment and Social Development Act* provides that “[l]eave to appeal is refused if the Appeal Division is satisfied that the appeal has no reasonable chance of success”.

ANALYSIS

[7] Under subsection 58(1) of the *Department of Employment and Social Development Act*, the only grounds of appeal are that:

- (a) the General Division failed to observe a principle of natural justice or otherwise acted beyond or refused to exercise its jurisdiction;

- (b) the General Division erred in law in making its decision or order, whether or not the error appears on the face of the record; or
- (c) the General Division based its decision or order on an erroneous finding of fact that it made in a perverse or capricious manner or without regard for the material before it.

[8] An application for leave to appeal is a preliminary step to a hearing on the merits. It is a first, and lower, hurdle for the Applicant to meet than the one that must be met on the hearing of the appeal on the merits. At the application for leave to appeal stage, the Applicant does not have to prove her case.

[9] The Tribunal will grant leave to appeal if the Applicant shows that any of the above grounds of appeal has a reasonable chance of success.

[10] To do so, the Tribunal must, in accordance with subsection 58(1) of the *Department of Employment and Social Development Act*, be able to see a question of law, fact or jurisdiction the answer to which may lead to the setting aside of the decision attacked.

[11] In light of the foregoing, does the Applicant's appeal have a reasonable chance of success?

[12] In her application for leave to appeal, the Applicant submits that she is under the impression that she was misunderstood on the reasons that led to her leaving voluntarily. She argues that everyone has the right to be properly understood and that she did not leave her employment without just cause.

[13] Unfortunately for the Applicant, an appeal to the Appeal Division is not an appeal in which there is a *de novo* hearing, that is, a hearing where a party can present his or her evidence again and hope for a favourable decision.

[14] The Tribunal can only find that the Applicant is not raising any question of law, fact or jurisdiction the answer to which may lead to the setting aside of the decision attacked.

[15] The Tribunal has no choice but to conclude that the appeal has no reasonable chance of success.

CONCLUSION

[16] Leave to appeal is refused.

Pierre Lafontaine

Member, Appeal Division