

Citation: *M. R. v. Canada Employment Insurance Commission*, 2015 SSTAD 390

Appeal No. AD-14-283

BETWEEN:

M. R.

Applicant

and

Canada Employment Insurance Commission

Respondent

SOCIAL SECURITY TRIBUNAL DECISION
Appeal Division – Leave to Appeal

SOCIAL SECURITY TRIBUNAL MEMBER : Mark BORER

DATE OF DECISION: March 23, 2015

DECISION: Leave to appeal granted

DECISION

[1] On April 30, 2014, a member of the General Division determined that the appeal of the Applicant from the previous determination of the Commission should be dismissed. In due course, the Applicant filed an application for leave to appeal to the Appeal Division.

[2] Subsection 58(1) of the *Department of Employment and Social Development Act* states that the only grounds of appeal are that:

- (a) The General Division failed to observe a principle of natural justice or otherwise acted beyond or refused to exercise its jurisdiction;
- (b) The General Division erred in law in making its decision, whether or not the error appears on the face of the record; or
- (c) The General Division based its decision on an erroneous finding of fact that it made in a perverse or capricious manner or without regard for the material before it.

[3] The *Act* also states that leave to appeal is to be refused if the appeal has “no reasonable chance of success”.

[4] Among other arguments, the Applicant submits that the General Division member did not permit the Applicant to fully explain her arguments during the hearing.

[5] Although I make no finding on the matter, if proven these arguments could result in a successful appeal on natural justice grounds.

[6] I therefore find that these pleadings have a reasonable chance of success. Accordingly, this application for leave to appeal must be granted.

Mark Borer
Member, Appeal Division