

Citation: *Canada Employment Insurance Commission v. R. M.*, 2015 SSTAD 472

Date: April 8, 2015

File number: AD-14-575

APPEAL DIVISION

Between:

Canada Employment Insurance Commission

Applicant

And

R. M.

Respondent

Decision by: Mark Borer, Member, Appeal Division

REASONS AND DECISION

DECISION

[1] On November 7, 2014, a member of the General Division determined that the appeal of the Respondent from the previous determination of the Commission should be allowed. In due course, the Commission filed a request for leave to appeal to the Appeal Division.

[2] Subsection 58(1) of the *Department of Employment and Social Development Act* states that the only grounds of appeal are that:

(a) The General Division failed to observe a principle of natural justice or otherwise acted beyond or refused to exercise its jurisdiction;

(b) The General Division erred in law in making its decision, whether or not the error appears on the face of the record; or

(c) The General Division based its decision on an erroneous finding of fact that it made in a perverse or capricious manner or without regard for the material before it.

[3] The *Act* also states that leave to appeal is to be refused if the appeal has “no reasonable chance of success”.

[4] In their application for leave to appeal, the Commission outlines their views as to how the General Division member made legal and factual errors in allowing the Respondent’s appeal. Specifically, they allege that the General Division member failed to explain why he ignored several important pieces of evidence, including statements by the Respondent, in coming to his factual conclusions.

[5] If proven, these pleadings could result in a successful appeal. Accordingly, I find that this appeal has a reasonable chance of success and this application for leave to appeal should be granted.

Mark Borer
Member, Appeal Division