

Citation: *S. H. v. Canada Employment Insurance Commission*, 2015 SSTAD 755

Appeal No. AD-15-264

BETWEEN:

**S. H.**

Appellant

and

**Canada Employment Insurance Commission**

Respondent

---

**SOCIAL SECURITY TRIBUNAL DECISION**  
**Appeal Division – Leave to Appeal**

---

SOCIAL SECURITY TRIBUNAL MEMBER: Mark BORER

DATE OF DECISION: June 18, 2015

DECISION: Leave to appeal granted

## DECISION

[1] On April 15, 2015, a member of the General Division determined that the appeal of the Appellant from the previous determination of the Commission should be dismissed. In due course, the Appellant filed an application for leave to appeal to the Appeal Division.

[2] Subsection 58(1) of the *Department of Employment and Social Development Act* states that the only grounds of appeal are that:

(a) The General Division failed to observe a principle of natural justice or otherwise acted beyond or refused to exercise its jurisdiction;

(b) The General Division erred in law in making its decision, whether or not the error appears on the face of the record; or

(c) The General Division based its decision on an erroneous finding of fact that it made in a perverse or capricious manner or without regard for the material before it.

[3] The *Act* also states that leave to appeal is to be refused if the appeal has “no reasonable chance of success”.

[4] The Appellant states that the General Division member erred by ignoring her evidence. Specifically, she submits that the member ignored the illness and death of her aunt in coming to the conclusion that the Appellant did not demonstrate good cause for failing to file a required report.

[5] Although I make no finding on the matter, I note that if proven this could ground a successful appeal. I therefore find that this application has a reasonable chance of success. For that reason, this application for leave to appeal must be granted.

*Mark Borer*

Member, Appeal Division