Citation: Canada Employment Insurance Commission v. J. F., 2015 SSTAD 941

Date: July 30, 2015

**File number: AD-13-1163** 

APPEAL DIVISION

**Between:** 

**Canada Employment Insurance Commission** 

**Applicant** 

and

J. F.

Respondent

Decision by: Pierre Lafontaine, Member, Appeal Division

### REASONS AND DECISION

### **DECISION**

[1] The Tribunal grants leave to appeal to the Appeal Division of the Social Security Tribunal.

## INTRODUCTION

- [2] On March 26, 2013, a board of referees determined that:
  - The Respondent was entitled to an antedate and an early termination request pursuant to sections 10(4), 10 (8) and 10(9) of the *Employment Insurance Act* (the "Act") and section 8(7) of the *Employment Insurance Regulations* (the "Regulations").
- [3] The Applicant requested leave to appeal to the Appeal Division on April 12, 2013.

# **ISSUE**

[4] The Tribunal must decide if it the appeal has a reasonable chance of success.

## THE LAW

- [5] According to subsections 56(1) and 58(3) of the *Department of Employment and Social Development Act* (the "*DESD Act*"), "an appeal to the Appeal Division may only be brought if leave to appeal is granted" and "the Appeal Division must either grant or refuse leave to appeal".
- [6] Subsection 58(2) of the *DESD Act* provides that "leave to appeal is refused if the Appeal Division is satisfied that the appeal has no reasonable chance of success".

#### **ANALYSIS**

- [7] Subsection 58(1) of the *DESD Act* states that the only grounds of appeal are the following:
  - (a) The Board of Referees failed to observe a principle of natural justice or otherwise acted beyond or refused to exercise its jurisdiction;

- (b) The Board of Referees erred in law in making its decision, whether or not the error appears on the face of the record; or
- (c) The Board of Referees based its decision on an erroneous finding of fact that it made in a perverse or capricious manner or without regard to the material before it.
- [8] In regards to the application for permission to appeal, the Tribunal needs to be satisfied that the reasons for appeal fall within any of the above mentioned grounds of appeal and that at least one of the reasons has a reasonable chance of success, before leave can be granted.
- [9] The Applicant submits that the Board of Referees made an error of law when it allowed the retroactive termination of a regular benefit period to qualify the Respondent for fishing benefits in contravention of s. 10(8) of the *Act* and s. 8(7) of the *Regulations*. The Applicant further pleads that the Board of Referees based its decision on an erroneous finding of fact when it concluded that the Respondent met the conditions to qualify for fishing benefits as of May 27, 2012.
- [10] After reviewing the docket of appeal, the decision of the Board of Referees and considering the arguments of the Applicant in support of its request for leave to appeal, the Tribunal finds that the appeal has a reasonable chance of success.
- [11] The Applicant has set out reasons which fall into the above enumerated grounds of appeal that could possibly lead to the reversal of the disputed decision.

### **CONCLUSION**

[12] The Tribunal grants leave to appeal to the Appeal Division of the Social Security Tribunal.

Pierre Lafontaine

Member, Appeal Division