Citation: J. M. v. Canada Employment Insurance Commission, 2016 SSTADEI 172

Tribunal File Number: AD-16-302

BETWEEN:

J.M.

Applicant

and

Canada Employment Insurance Commission

Respondent

SOCIAL SECURITY TRIBUNAL DECISION Appeal Division – Leave to appeal decision

DECISION BY:: Pierre Lafontaine

DATE OF DECISION April 1st, 2016



REASONS AND DECISION

DECISION

[1] The Tribunal grants leave to appeal to the Appeal Division of the Social Security Tribunal.

INTRODUCTION

- [2] On January 11th, 2016, the General Division of the Tribunal determined that:
 - The allocation of earnings was calculated in accordance with sections 35 and 36 of the *Employment Insurance Regulations* (the "*Regulations*").
- [3] The Applicant requested leave to appeal to the Appeal Division on February 16, 2016.

ISSUE

[4] The Tribunal must decide if the appeal has a reasonable chance of success.

THE LAW

- [5] According to subsections 56(1) and 58(3) of the *Department of Employment and Social Development Act* (the "*DESD Act*"), "an appeal to the Appeal Division may only be brought if leave to appeal is granted" and "the Appeal Division must either grant or refuse leave to appeal".
- [6] Subsection 58(2) of the *DESD Act* provides that "leave to appeal is refused if the Appeal Division is satisfied that the appeal has no reasonable chance of success".

ANALYSIS

[7] Subsection 58(1) of the *DESD Act* states that the only grounds of appeal are the following:

- (a) The General Division failed to observe a principle of natural justice or otherwise acted beyond or refused to exercise its jurisdiction;
- (b) The General Division erred in law in making its decision, whether or not the error appears on the face of the record; or
- (c) The General Division based its decision on an erroneous finding of fact that it made in a perverse or capricious manner or without regard for the material before it.
- [8] In regards to the application for permission to appeal, the Tribunal needs to be satisfied that the reasons for appeal fall within any of the above mentioned grounds of appeal and that at least one of the reasons has a reasonable chance of success, before leave can be granted.
- [9] The Applicant, in support of his application for leave to appeal, submits the following:
 - His pension should not be considered earnings under the *Regulations*;
 - The pension is contributed to solely by the members of the union and not by employers as the contribution comes from the wage package negotiated with the employers' bargaining representative and the amount of the contribution is determined by the union in consultation with its members;
 - At no time have employers contributed any amount to the pension fund. They
 merely withhold the appropriate amounts from the negotiated wage package and
 disperse them according to the Collective Agreement and the majority decision
 of the members of UA Local 740;
 - The initial position of the Respondent was that his pension was contributed to by the employers. He submits that he has clearly demonstrated that this is not the case.

[10] After reviewing the docket of appeal, the decision of the General Division and considering the arguments of the Applicant in support of his request for leave to appeal, the Tribunal finds that the appeal has a reasonable chance of success. The Applicant has set out reasons which fall into the above enumerated grounds of appeal that could possibly lead to the reversal of the disputed decision.

CONCLUSION

[11] The Tribunal grants leave to appeal to the Appeal Division of the Social Security Tribunal.

Pierre Lafontaine

Member, Appeal Division