



Social Security
Tribunal of Canada

Tribunal de la sécurité
sociale du Canada

Citation: *Canada Employment Insurance Commission v. S. G.*, 2016 SSTADEI 360

Tribunal File Number: AD-16-881

BETWEEN:

Canada Employment Insurance Commission

Applicant

and

S. G.

Respondent

SOCIAL SECURITY TRIBUNAL DECISION

**On Leave to Appeal
Appeal Division**

DECISION BY: Pierre Lafontaine

DATE OF DECISION: July 8, 2016

REASONS AND DECISION

DECISION

[1] The Tribunal grants leave to appeal to the Appeal Division of the Social Security Tribunal.

INTRODUCTION

[2] On June 13, 2016, the General Division of the Tribunal determined that:

- The Respondent had sufficient hours to qualify for regular benefits pursuant to section 7 of the *Employment Insurance Act* (the “Act”).

[3] The Applicant requested leave to appeal to the Appeal Division on June 30, 2016.

ISSUE

[4] The Tribunal must decide if the appeal has a reasonable chance of success.

THE LAW

[5] According to subsections 56(1) and 58(3) of the *Department of Employment and Social Development Act* (the “*DESD Act*”), “an appeal to the Appeal Division may only be brought if leave to appeal is granted” and “the Appeal Division must either grant or refuse leave to appeal”.

[6] Subsection 58(2) of the *DESD Act* provides that “leave to appeal is refused if the Appeal Division is satisfied that the appeal has no reasonable chance of success”.

ANALYSIS

[7] Subsection 58(1) of the *DESD Act* states that the only grounds of appeal are the following:

- (a) The General Division failed to observe a principle of natural justice or otherwise acted beyond or refused to exercise its jurisdiction;
- (b) The General Division erred in law in making its decision, whether or not the error appears on the face of the record; or
- (c) The General Division based its decision on an erroneous finding of fact that it made in a perverse or capricious manner or without regard for the material before it.

[8] In regards to the application for permission to appeal, the Tribunal needs to be satisfied that the reasons for appeal fall within any of the above mentioned grounds of appeal and that at least one of the reasons has a reasonable chance of success, before leave can be granted.

[9] The Applicant argues that the General Division exceeded its jurisdiction in determining the Respondent's hours of insurable employment as the jurisdiction of insurability and quantum rests with the *Canada Revenue Agency* pursuant to subsection 64(3) of the *DESD Act*. The Applicant submits that the correct avenue for the General Division would have been to refer the matter back to the Applicant for investigation and review based on a request of insurability from the *Canada Revenue Agency*.

[10] After reviewing the docket of appeal, the decision of the General Division and considering the arguments of the Applicant in support of the request for leave to appeal, the Tribunal finds that the appeal has a reasonable chance of success. The Applicant has set out reasons which fall into the above enumerated grounds of appeal that could possibly lead to the reversal of the disputed decision.

CONCLUSION

[11] The Tribunal grants leave to appeal to the Appeal Division of the Social Security Tribunal.

Pierre Lafontaine
Member, Appeal Division