

[TRANSLATION]

Citation: Canada Employment Insurance Commission v. A. B., 2016 SSTADEI 427

Tribunal File Number: AD-16-997

BETWEEN:

Canada Employment Insurance Commission

Applicant

and

A. B.

Respondent

SOCIAL SECURITY TRIBUNAL DECISION **Appeal Division**

Leave to Appeal Decision by: Pierre Lafontaine

Date of Decision: August 18, 2016



REASONS AND DECISION

DECISION

[1] The Tribunal grants leave to appeal before the Appeal Division of the Social Security Tribunal.

INTRODUCTION

[2] On July 22, 2016, the Tribunal's General Division found that the disentitlement imposed under paragraph 18(*a*) of the *Employment Insurance Act* (Act) was not justified.

[3] On August 5, 2016, the Applicant filed an application for leave to appeal to the Appeal Division.

ISSUE

[4] The Tribunal must determine whether the appeal has a reasonable chance of success.

THE LAW

[5] As stated in subsections 56(1) and 58(3) of the *Department of Employment and Social Development Act*, "[a]n appeal to the Appeal Division may only be brought if leave to appeal is granted" and the Appeal Division "must either grant or refuse leave to appeal".

[6]Subsection 58(2) of the *Department of Employment and Social Development Act* states that "[1]eave to appeal is refused if the Appeal Division is satisfied that the appeal has no reasonable chance of success".

ANALYSIS

[7] Under subsection 58(1) of the *Department of Employment and Social Development Act*, the following are the only grounds of appeal:

(a) The General Division failed to observe a principle of natural justice or otherwise acted beyond or refused to exercise its jurisdiction;

(b) The Board of Referees erred in law in making its decision, whether or not the error appears on the face of the record; or

(c) The Board of Referees based its decision on an erroneous finding of fact that it made in a perverse or capricious manner or without regard for the material before it.

[8] An application for leave to appeal is a preliminary step to a hearing on the merits. It is a first hurdle for the Applicant to meet, but it is lower than the one that must be met on the hearing of the appeal on the merits. At the application for leave to appeal stage, the Applicant does not have to prove their case.

[9] The Tribunal will grant leave to appeal if it is satisfied that any of the above grounds of appeal has a reasonable chance of success.

[10] This means that the Tribunal must be in a position to determine, in accordance with subsection 58(1) of the *Department of Employment and Social Development Act*, whether there is a question of law, fact, or jurisdiction to which the response might justify setting aside the decision under review.

[11] In light of the foregoing, does the Applicant's appeal have a reasonable chance of success?

[12] The Applicant states that the General Division's decision to grant the appeal on the issue of availability in accordance with paragraph 18(1)(a) of the Act is unreasonable given the facts on file, the legal test, and the case law on the matter.

[13] It maintains that the evidence shows that the Respondent works for her employer every other week, cannot work full time, and cannot work more than every other week for medical reasons (GD2A-2, testimony at the hearing).

[14] The Applicant states that despite the Respondent's wish to work full time, she works only as much as she is able and is not available on each working day within the meaning of the Act and case law. In support of its argument, the Applicant cites *Canada* (*A.G.*) *v. Leblanc*, 2010 FCA 60, and *Faucher* A-56-96.

[15] Upon review of the appeal file, the General Division's decision, and the arguments in support of the application for leave to appeal, the Tribunal finds that the appeal has a reasonable chance of success. The Applicant raised a question, the response to which could lead to the setting aside the decision challenged.

CONCLUSION

[16] Leave to appeal is granted.

Pierre Lafontaine Member, Appeal Division